OSCILLATIONS OF BROMINE IN BELOUSOV-ZHABOTINSKII TYPE REACTION WITH OXALIC ACID

Peter Ševčík and Jana Dubovská

Department of Physical Chemistry, Comenius University, 842 15 Bratislava

Received June 8th, 1984

The authors studied the influence of the concentrations of reactants and catalysts on the parameters of the catalysed oscillation reaction of bromate ions with oxalic acid in the medium of sulphuric acid. Ce(IV) and Mn(III) ions induce the reaction of bromine in the oxidation state either +1 or 0 with oxalic acid. In the presence of bromate ions, the induced reaction can lead to the formation of the same quantity of bromine as in the first oscillation cycle of the oscillation reaction.

Recently Noszticzius, Farkas and Schelly¹ proposed a new scheme of the Belousov–Zhabotinskii oscillation reaction, called Explodator. Based mainly on their arguments, Noyes² elaborated a revised model of the well-known Oregonator³. In six irreversible steps, he considers reactions of three important intermediate products, namely HBrO₂, HOBr, and Br⁻ ions. Explodator¹ is a five-step model with two autocatalytic reactions and three important intermediate products. HBrO₂, HOBr, and bromine.

Both models can also be applied to systems with bromate ions, a catalyst, and nonbrominatable organic substrates, such as oxalic acid. Here, the oscillating behaviour is shown by the catalyst and bromine, if the latter is removed from the reaction solution by bubbling an inert $gas^{4.5}$.

At constant concentration of the reactants, the period of oscilations in the system with oxalic acid is determined by the rate of removal of bromine from the reaction solution⁶. Nevertheless, it may be assumed that the quantity of Br_2 formed during the oscillation cycles and the oscillation period will depend on the reactant concentration. The present work deals with the influence of the concentration of BrO_3^- , (COOH)₂, Ce(III), Mn(II), and H₂SO₄ on the quantity of bromine formed and on the oscillation period. The quantity of Br_2 produced during the oscillation cycles is compared with that formed in either catalysed or noncatalysed redox reaction of BrO_3^- and Br_2 with (COOH)₂ under nonoscillating conditions, *i.e.* without bubbling an inert gas.

EXPERIMENTAL

Freshly prepared solutions of reagent grade chemicals in redistilled water were used. The oscillation reaction was followed as described earlier⁵ except that the time dependence of the polarographic current of bromine (formed in the reaction cell and transferred by a stream of nitrogen nto the polarographic cell) was recorded on an LP 7e type polarograph (Laboratorní přístroje, Prague). The quantity of Br₂ formed during the oscillation cycle was determined from calibration experiments, where the area delimited by the polarographic curve of bromine in the second cell, *i.e.* $\int I_{Br} dt$, was measured as function of the quantity of Br₂ in the first cell. We used 10-30 ml of $0.3-8 \cdot 10^{-4}$ M-Br₂ solution which was prepared by reaction of bromate with bromide ions in the medium of 1.5 M-H₂SO₄; one of the reactants was in excess to accelerate the reaction. The bromine thus prepared was transferred by nitrogen streaming at a rate of 600 ml/min into the polarographic cell. Solutions of a known bromine concentration prepared in either way gave calibration straight lines of an equal slope.

During the oscillation reaction, the reactant concentration decreases and the quantity of bromine produced in individual cycles is lowered. We therefore evaluated the area of the first peak as the quantity of bromine in the first cycle (n_{Br_2}) corresponding to the initial concentrations of the reactants. Accordingly, we measured the first oscillation period (PO_1) as the time elapsed between the first and second current maximum. The volume of the reaction solution was 10 ml and the temperature was 25°C.

Both the initial (maximum) and instantaneous concentrations of bromine at a known rate of its removal $(t_{1/2} = 19 \text{ s})$ were determined in the calibration experiments. In the oscillation reaction with Ce(III) catalyst, the bromine concentration in the first cycle decreases from the rapidly attained maximum similarly to the calibration experiments, *i.e.* the form of the curve $I_{Br_2} = f(t)$ is very similar. This suggests that the concentration of bromine between the two maxima is controlled by the rate of flow of nitrogen. However, in the case of the Mn(II) catalyst, at the same rate of flow of nitrogen, the decrease of the bromine concentration after its rapid increase is slower. This suggests that a certain quantity of bromine is formed simultaneously with its physical removal and its concentration in the system cannot be described by the same kinetic equation as in the case of Ce(III).

The reaction of bromate ions and bromine with oxalic acid in $1.5M-H_2SO_4$ was also followed spectrophotometrically without bubbling nitrogen. We measured the time dependence of the absorbancy of bromine at 400 nm in 2-5 cm cuvettes. The concentration and quantity of bromine were calculated by using the molar absorption coefficient $\varepsilon_{400} = 165 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (ref.⁷). The same procedure was used in studying the catalysis with Ce(IV) and Mn(III) ions. A solution of Mn(III) was prepared by rapid reaction of MnO₄⁻⁻ with a 20-fold excess of Mn²⁺ ions in $3M-H_2SO_4$.

RESULTS

The concentration of BrO_3^- ions influences the quantity of the produced bromine and the oscillation period (Fig. 1). In the case of Ce(III), it is possible to estimate the critical concentration of bromine, c_k , after whose attainment there is a rapid formation of Ce(IV) and Br₂:

$$c_{k} = (n_{Br_{2}}/V) \exp\left(-PO_{1} \ln 2/t_{1/2}\right).$$
(1)

Here, n_{Br_2} denotes the number of moles of Br_2 in the first cycle (we assume its rapid increase to a maximum and then only physical removal), V denotes the reaction volume, PO_1 period of the first oscillation cycle, and $t_{1/2}$ time after which the Br_2 concentration drops to one half by bubbling nitrogen. Since the latter two quantities

Collection Czechoslovak Chem. Commun. [Vol. 50] [1985]





Fig. 1

¹ Quantity of Br_2 in the first cycle, 2 first oscillation period as function of the bromate concentration. 10 ml of a solution of 2.5. $10^{-2}M$ (COOH)₂, $10^{-3}M$ Ce(III), and 1.5M-H₂SO₄ at 25°C; rate of flow of nitrogen 600 cm³ min⁻¹



Dependence of 1 n_{Br_2} and 2 PO_1 on the concentration of (COOH)₂. Solution of 10^{-2} M-BrO₃⁻ and 10^{-3} M-Ce(III); other data as in Fig. 1





FIG. 3

Dependence of $1 n_{Br_2}$ and $2 PO_1$ on the concentration of $H_2SO_4 \cdot 10^{-2}$ m BrO_3^- , 2.5. . 10^{-2} m (COOH)₂, and 10^{-3} m Ce(III); ionic strength 1.7 mol dm⁻³, other data as in Fig. 1



Dependence of n_{Br_2} on the concentration of 1 Mn(II) and 2 Ce(III). 10^{-2} M BrO₃, 2.5. 10^{-2} M (COOH)₂; other data as in Fig. 1

Collection Czechoslovak Chem Commun. [Vol. 50] [1985]

were subject to an error of 11% on the average, the error in n_{Br_2} being 23%, the value of c_k is rather inaccurate. Nevertheless, we determined the dependence of c_k on the concentration of reactants. The value of c_k increases exponentially with the concentration of BrO₃⁻ ions. For 0.01 mol dm⁻³ BrO₃⁻, 0.025 mol dm⁻³ (COOH)₂, and 0.001 mol dm⁻³ Ce(III) in 1.5 mol dm⁻³ H₂SO₄ we found $c_k = (4.1 \pm 2.2)$. 10^{-6} mol dm⁻³.

The other reactant, oxalic acid, beginning from a concentration of about 0.03 mol. . dm⁻³, increases the quantity of Br₂ in the first cycle as well as the period (Fig. 2). It seems that $n_{\rm Br_2}$ is the lowest at the stoichiometric concentration, *i.e.* 0.025 mol. . dm⁻³ (COOH)₂. The calculated dependence of c_k on the concentration of oxalic acid is roughly constant in the range $0.015 - 0.031 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, namely equal to $(3.9 \pm 2.4) \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, then decreases. With increasing concentration of oxalic acid, the curve $I_{\rm Br_2} = f(t)$ deviates still more and more from that in the calibration experiments. The ratio of $n_{\rm Br_2}/V$ is then not equal to the maximum (initial) concentration of bromine and Eq. (1) cannot be used.

With increasing concentration of sulphuric acid, at constant ionic strength equal to 1.7 mol dm^{-3} , both the quantity of bromine and the critical concentration c_k increases, while PO_1 decreases (Fig. 3).

If the Ce(III) catalyst is replaced with Mn(II), the first oscilation period in the entire concentration range increases. The dependence of the quantity of bromine on the catalyst concentration is shown in Fig. 4. The calculated critical concentration of bromine is independent of the concentration of Ce(III), $c_k = (4 \cdot 2 \pm 2 \cdot 7) \cdot 10^{-6}$ mol dm⁻³, in the entire concentration range. The dependence of c_k on the concentration of Mn(II) could not be evaluated for the reasons mentioned above (Fig. 5).

The noncatalysed reaction of BrO_3^- with oxalic acid in $1.5M-H_2SO_4$ without bubbling gas is slow. Although its autocatalytic character implies a more rapid reaction of Br_2 (or HOBr, ref.¹¹) with oxalic acid than with bromate ions, the reac-



FIG. 5

Dependence of PO_1 on concentration of 1 Mn(II) and 2 Ce(III). Same conditions as in Fig. 4

Collection Czechoslovak Chem. Commun. [Vol. 50] [1985]

tion of Br_2 or HOBr with oxalic acid is relatively slow, however it can be substantially accelerated by Ce(IV) or Mn(III). (In such a case, Ce(IV) and Mn(III) can be called inductors rather than catalysts, since they are consumed in the reaction). These rapid reactions lead to Br^- ions and, in the presence of bromate, to bromine.

The dependence of absorbancy at 400 nm on the time cannot be described by a simple kinetic equation. We therefore determined the concentration of bromine during the catalysed reaction of bromate with oxalic acid in $1.5M-H_2SO_4$ after 150 s (*i.e.* approximately the first oscillation period during bubbling) and after 660 s (at such a long time we were able to determine with a sufficient accuracy the concentration of bromine during the slow noncatalysed reaction). The results are given in Table I.

DISCUSSION

It has been shown⁶ that the oscillation period at constant concentration of the reactants and Ce(III) depends on the rate of removal of bromine from the solution. Besides the method used in the present work, the oscillations can be initiated in the presence of oxalic acid by a suitable chemical reaction of Br_2 (e.g. with acetone or malonic acid) or by using a flow-through reactor⁶. This seems to be particularly suited for the study of numerous oscillation systems^{8,9}.

TABLE I

No	System	$10^4 c_{\text{Br}_2}$ after 150 s mol dm ⁻³	$10^4 c_{\rm Br_2}$ after 660 s mol dm ⁻³
1	A		1.02
2	$A + 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Ce(III)}$	2.86	5.27
3	A $\pm 2.10^{-3}$ mol dm ⁻³ Ce(III)	4.06	7.0
4	$A + 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Mn(II)}$	4.23	11.7
5	$A + 2.10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Mn}(\text{II})$	8.28	21.1
6	$A + 2.5 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} (\text{COOH})_2$		1.73
7	As 6 ± 10^{-3} mol dm ⁻³ Ce(III)	2.1	8.58
8	As $6 + 10^{-3}$ mol dm ⁻³ Mn(II)	3.46	15.06
9	$A \pm 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Ce(IV)}$	1.65	5.12
10	$A + 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Mn}(\text{III})$	3.61	14-1
11	В	3.97	3.9
12	$B + 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Ce(III)}$	3.7	3.6
13	$B + 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Mn}(\text{II})$	3.8	3.7
14	$B - 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Ce(IV)}$	1.9	1.38
15	$B + 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Mn}(\text{III})$	0	0
16	As $14 + 10^{-2} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ BrO}_{3}^{-3}$	6.81	13-8

Influence of catalysts on reaction of BrO_3^- and Br_2 with (COOH)₂ in 1.5m-H₂SO₄. A stands for 0.01m-BrO₃⁻ + 0.025m-(COOH)₂; B stands for 3.97 \cdot 10⁻⁴m-Br₂ + 2.5 \cdot 10⁻²m-(COOH)₂

Collection Czechoslovak Chem. Commun. [Vol. 50] [1985]

1454

The induction period of the autocatalytic reaction of Ce(III) with BrO₃⁻ (*i.e.* the time, IP, after which Ce(IV) begins to be formed) significantly decreases with increasing concentration of BrO_3^- and H^+ ions, increases with the concentration of Br₂ and Br⁻, and is practically independent of the catalyst concentration¹⁰. The dependences of the oscillation parameters in the reaction with oxalic acid on the concentration of BrO_3^- and H_2SO_4 in the presence of Ce(III) are in accord with the influence of bromine on the induction period IP of the reaction of Ce(III) with BrO_3^- . It seems that at higher concentrations of BrO_3^- or H^+ ions more bromine is necessary to inhibit the oxidation of Ce(III) with BrO_3^- ions. In the studied range of (0.5 to 3.5). 10^{-3} M Ce(III), the increase of PO₁ and n_{Br_2} compensate each other and c_k remains constant. Hence, as soon as the concentration c_k is reached (independent of the concentration of Ce(III)), the inhibition of the oxidation of Ce(III) with bromate ions stops. For example, for the reaction of $0.01 \text{M}-\text{BrO}_3^-$ with $10^{-3} \text{M}-\text{Ce}(\text{III})$ in $1.5M-H_2SO_4$ in the presence of $4.35 \cdot 10^{-4}M-Br_2$ IP equals 126 s. Although the concentrations used by Barkin and coworkers¹⁰ are different from those in the present work, it seems that the critical concentration of bromine and the oscillation period are both related to the concentration of bromine which controls (may be via its hydrolysis) the induction period in the oxidation of Ce(III) with bromate ions.

The results in Table I show that the catalysed reaction of bromine in the oxidation state +1 or 0 with oxalic acid produces after 150 s such a quantity of Br₂ as observed in the first cycle of the oscillation reaction. Mn(III) is a more efficient catalyst than Ce(IV); this may be due to different stability of the complex formed by the catalyst in its higher oxidation state and oxalate ions, or perhaps to its different dissociation rate¹². Since the rate of the catalysed reaction depends on the (instantaneous) concentration of the catalyst, the concentration of Br₂ after 150 s depends on the initial concentration of the catalyst. A comparison of experiments 2 and 9 (Table I) shows that more Br₂ is formed after 150 s if the catalyst is added in its lower oxidation state, Ce(IV) being formed by rapid oxidation with bromate ions and then consumed by reaction with oxalic acid. It is the latter reaction the intermediate products of which lead finally to a rapid formation of Br₂. The instantaneous catalyst concentration, however, is a function of the concentrations of all components of the system, hence the same applies to the quantity of bromine formed in the oscillation cycle.

The stoichiometry of the reaction

$$2 \operatorname{BrO}_{3}^{-} + 5 (\operatorname{COOH})_{2} + 2 \operatorname{H}^{+} = \operatorname{Br}_{2} + 10 \operatorname{CO}_{2} + 6 \operatorname{H}_{2} \operatorname{O}$$
(2)

is in accord with the following system of reactions which qualitatively elucidates the oscillations:

$$BrO_3^- + 4 Ce^{3+} + 5 H^+ \rightarrow 4 Ce^{4+} + HOBr + 2 H_2O$$
 (3)

Collection Czechoslóvak Chem. Commun. [Vol. 50] [1985]

$$2 \operatorname{Ce}^{4+} + (\operatorname{COOH})_2 \rightarrow 2 \operatorname{Ce}^{3+} + 2 \operatorname{CO}_2 + 2 \operatorname{H}^+$$
(4)

$$HOBr + (COOH)_2 \rightarrow Br^- + 2CO_2 + H^+ + H_2O$$
(5)

$$BrO_3^- + 5 Br^- + 6 H^+ \rightarrow 3 Br_2 + 3 H_2O$$
. (6)

Analogous equations are valid for the case with Mn(III).

The catalyst of reaction (5) is formed by the autocatalytic reaction (3) and is consumed by reaction (4). The oxidized form of the catalyst causes a rapid increase of the bromine concentration by reactions (5) and (6). Since bromine acts as inhibitor of reaction (3), it must be removed from the reaction solution to start reaction (3)again and repeat the cycle.

REFERENCES

- 1. Noszticzius Z., Farkas H., Schelly Z. A.: J. Chem. Phys. 80, 6062 (1984).
- 2. Noyes R. M.: J. Chem. Phys. 80, 6071 (1984).
- 3. Field R. J., Noyes R. M.: J. Chem. Phys. 60, 1877 (1974).
- 4. Noszticzius Z., Bódiss J.: J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 101, 3177 (1979).
- 5. Ševčík P., Adamčíková Ľ.: This Journal 47, 891 (1982).
- 6. Ševčik P., Adamčíková Ľ.: This Journal, 50, 799 (1985).
- 7. Herbine P., Brummer J. G., Field R. J.: Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 12, 393 (1980).
- 8. Alamgir R., Orbán M., Epstein I. R.: J. Phys. Chem. 87, 3725 (1983).
- 9. Epstein I. R.: J. Phys. Chem. 88, 187 (1984).
- 10. Barkin S., Bixon M., Noyes R. G., Bar-Eli K.: Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 11, 841 (1977).
- 11. Knoller Y., Perlmutter-Hayman B.: J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 77, 3212 (1955).
- 12. Taube H.: J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 70, 3928 (1948).

Translated by K. Micka.